

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,558	01/22/2001	Timothy B. Meluch	ALT-5612 CON of DIV I	3046
29200	7590 08/14/2002			
	EALTHCARE CORP	EXAMINER		
RENAL DIV		FORTUNA, ANA M		
DF3-3E DEERFIELD	. IL 60015	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1723	(7
		DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	. 13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/767,558 Applicant(s)

Meluch et al

Examiner

Art Unit

		Ana Fo	ortuna	1723			
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with the corres	pondence addres	s		
Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a mailing date of this commun		n no event, however, may	a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS	from the		
 If NO period for reply is spec Failure to reply within the se 	ied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to cified above, the maximum statutory period will apply of it or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ffice later than three months after the mailing date of ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	ONTHS from the mailing ABANDONED (35 U.S	g date of this commun .C. § 133).	cation.		
Status							
1) X Responsive to	communication(s) filed on May 20,	2002			 ·		
2a) X This action is	FINAL. 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		, .					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>			is/are	pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the abov	re, claim(s)		is/ard	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.		
5) Claim(s)				is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>				is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)				is/are objected t	ю.		
8) 🗆 Claims		are s	subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Application Papers							
9) The specificat	ion is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s	s) filed onis/are	e a) 🗆 accepted	or b)□ objecte	d to by the Exa	miner.		
Applicant may	y not request that any objection to the c	drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a)			
11) The proposed	\square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, c	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
а	f the certified copies of the priority d pplication from the International Bure I detailed Office action for a list of th	eau (PCT Rule 17.	.2(a)).	this National St	age		
				e).			
 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cit	ed (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)			
_	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure S	tatement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).3 and 12	6) Cther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/767,558 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 is unclear as to whether a membrane made from the claimed composition is intended, or as to whether the non-solvent is present in the final membrane. For examination purpose all the claims will be considered as homogeneous polysulfone semipermeable membranes. In membranes made from solvent and nonsolvents, the non solvent (or pore forming agent) is not part of the final "membrane" product.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. Claims 1,3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Azad et al (5,462,867)(hereinafter '867). Reference '867 discloses a polysulfone membrane which is substantially isotropic, e.g. having uniform pore structure though a thickness of the polysulfone membrane (abstract, column 9, lines 40-47). The membrane is made from polyethersulfone, as claimed in claims 3, and 16 (column 10, lines 49-56, column 11, lines 11-14). The membrane composition including the solvent and nonsolvent is also disclosed (column 14, lines 51-58). The list of solvent and nonsolvents is also disclosed in reference '867, column 15, lines 62-68, and column 16, lines 1-65 through column 17, lines 1-17). The membrane composition of claim 10 is also disclosed, e.g. 20 % of PES (column 16, lines 25-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/767,558 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nguyen (5,096,585)(hereinafter '585). Reference '585 discloses "homogeneous" polysulfone microporous membrane of claims 1, 5, and 16, the membranes are made from mixtures of solvent and nonsolvent, the specific solvents and non solvents and polysulfone, polyether sulfone materials of the dependent claims are also disclosed column 2, lines 6-29, column 3, lines 6-18, and lines 65-68). The solvents, polymers ad non solvents of claims 16, 2, 3, 12, 17 and 18 are discloses in '585 (column 5, lines 2-57, column 6, lines 34-68, through column 7, lines 1-39, column 8, lines 16-32). The membrane composition of claims 10, 11, and 15 is also disclosed, e.g. 8-35 % of polysulfone polymer, the solvent and additive composition is also disclosed which averages the limitation of claim 15 (column 6, lines 61-68, column 7, lines 1-4, column 8, lines 60-68, and column 9, lines 1-5). Polyether sulfone is disclosed in reference to polysulfone of Udel TM 3500 and 1700 from Amoco.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 16, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller et al (5,376,274)(hereinafter '274). Reference '274 discloses an aromatic polysulfone, microporous membrane, made from solvents and nonsolvents, the polysulfone selected from bisphenol A polysulfone, polyarylsulfones, polyether sulfone, polyphenylenesulfone, the membrane is inherently homogeneous, e.g. microfiltration membranes (0.01 to 5 micrometes). The composition of claim 10 is also disclosed(abstract t, column 3, lines 11-66, column 4, lines 58-64 through column 5, lines 1-63, column 7, lines 5-56, and column 8, lines 57-59).

Application/Control Number: 09/767,558

Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

7.

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraus et al (4,900,449) ('449). Reference '449 discloses a microporous inherently homogeneous membrane made from polysulfone polymer in a mixture of solvent and non solvent, polysulfone and polyether sulfone are disclosed as suitable polymers (column 3, lines 11-68, column 4, lines 1-29, column 5, lines 35-68, through column 6, lines 1-66, column 7, lines 21-68, and column 8, lines 1-20). '449 also disclosed DMF and PEG, etc (column 9, lines 30-68 through column 10, lines 1-30).

6. Claims 1, 5, 15, 6, 7, 8, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eguchi (4,612,119)(hereinafter '119). Reference '119 discloses a polysulfone membrane having no skin layer (homogeneous) in the outer and inner surfaces, and having pores from 0.1 to 5 microns, the membrane is made of polysulfone in a mixture with solvent ad nonsolvent (abstract, column 2, lines 13-33, column 3, lines 15-55). The solvents and the polysulfone or polyether sulfone is disclosed I '119 (column 5, lines 35-68, column 6, lines 1-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,558

Art Unit: 1723

9. Claims 2, 4, 7, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azad et al (5,462,867)('867) in view of Pemawansa (5,279,739)(hereinafter '739). reference e '867 fails to disclose polyarylsulfone, and the solvent of the solvents of claims 4 and y. Reference '739 teaches the claimed solvents as solvent for polysulfone, e.g. sulfolane (column 5, lines 59-65). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute conventional polysulfone solvents, such as DMF, DMA, disclosed in reference '867, by sulfolane (tetraethylene sulfone) as suggested by '739 in a process of making a membrane from polysulfone. Reference '739 also discloses polyether sulfone and polyarylether sulfone as equivalents in the art fro producing membranes (column 10, lnes 25-29). It wold have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to produce a membrane from polysulfone, e.g. polyarylsulfone or polyether sulfone and having the properties and composition

Page 5

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference 5,906,742 is cited as art recognizing the membrane of Kraus as isotropic (homogeneous) membrane (column 9, lines 14-16). References 6,026968, and 4,780,205 are cited as listening conventional polysulfone solvents and nonsolvents. Additional prior art is also considered to be pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

of the membrane of '867, since these polysulfones are art recognized equivalents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana Fortuna whose telephone number is (703) 308-3857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,558 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for regular responses, and (703)872-9311 for after finals.

Ana Fortuna

August 06, 2002

ANA FORTUNA PRIMARY EXAMINER